IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

VICKIE GILMORE AND LA'VONTE FLOWERS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CO-PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES OF THE WRONGFUL DEATH ESTATE OF NAHJE FLOWERS, DECEASED,

PLAINTIFFS,

V.

BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, A BODY CORPORATE OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO, FOR ITSELF AND ITS PUBLIC OPERATIONS, ROBERT DAVIE, IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, AND NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION,

DEFENDANTS,

NO. 1:20-CV-00853-MLG-LF

FOURTH STIPULATED MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL SETTING AND SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. Rule 16(b)(4), D. N.M. L Rules 16.1 and 40.1, and the Scheduling Order, Plaintiffs Vickie Gilmore and La'Vonte Flowers Individually and as Co-Personal Representatives of the Wrongful Death Estate of Nahje Flowers, deceased, Defendant Board of Regents of the University of New Mexico a Body Corporate of the State of New Mexico, Defendant Robert Davie, and Defendant National Collegiate Athletic Association (hereinafter "the Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, file their Fourth Stipulated Motion for a New Trial Setting and Scheduling Order and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court the following:

- 1. Courts may grant leave for modification of a scheduling order if the "schedule cannot reasonably be met despite diligence of the party seeking the extension." *Miller ex rel S.M. v. Bd. of Educ. of Albuquerque Pub. Sch.*, 455 F. Supp. 2d 1286, 1304 (D.N.M. 2006), aff'd sub nom. 565 F.3d 1232 (10th Cir. 2009) (quoting *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). In determining whether to grant leave for the modification of a scheduling order, Courts also consider any prejudice to the adverse party. *Id.*
- 2. There is currently no date set for trial in this Court; See October 5, 2023 Order Granting Stipulated Motion to Amend the Current Scheduling Order [Dkt. 139].
- 3. The Parties currently have twenty (20) depositions scheduled to take place over the next couple months. In addition, the Parties have participated in extensive written discovery and will most likely require additional discovery following the deposition. As a result, the Parties are unable to conclude taking depositions and written discovery by the discovery deadline of February 15, 2024 and further the parties are unable to conclude the depositions and discovery necessary to inform their respective experts and their reports prior to those disclosure deadlines. Thus, the parties request that all deadlines in the current Scheduling Order [Dkt. 139] be vacated and the Court set a scheduling conference to set new deadlines and a trial setting.
- 4. The Parties have worked in good faith and with reasonable diligence to meet the current deadlines of the scheduling order, but are unable to complete the necessary written discovery and depositions prior to those deadlines. Neither Plaintiffs or Defendants would be prejudiced by the modification of the scheduling order and new trial setting, but rather, a new scheduling order and trial setting will provide all Parties with the necessary time to complete written discovery and depositions in order to develop their respective claims and defenses. As a

2

result, the Parties have demonstrated good cause exists to vacate the current scheduling order and to continue the trial setting.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Parties respectfully request that their Fourth Stipulated Motion for a New Trial Setting and Scheduling Order be granted and that the Court set a scheduling conference to set new deadlines and a trial setting.

Dated: January 16, 2024 Respectfully Submitted,

/<u>s/ Drew Stout</u>

Stout & Stout Lawyers

Drew Stout
Mark Stout
New Mexico Bar No. 2603
mark@stoutnm.com
Drew Stout
New Mexico Bar No. 149545
drew@stoutnm.com
Stout & Stout Lawyers LLP
3319A N. Grimes
Hobbs, NM 88240
Telephone No.: (575) 393-1555

Hilliard Martinez Gonzales LLP

Robert C. Hilliard Texas State Bar No. 09677700 bobh@hmglawfirm.com T. Christopher Pinedo Texas State Bar No. 00788935 cpinedo@hmglawfirm.com Marion M. Reilly Texas State Bar No. 24079195 marion@hmglawfirm.com John C. Duff Texas State Bar No. 24086979 jduff@hmglawfirm.com 719 S. Shoreline Blvd. Corpus Christi, TX 78401 (361) 882-1612 Telephone (361) 882-3015 Facsimile hmgservice@hmglawfirm.com *service to this email address only

Ben Crump Law, PLLC

Ben Crump Florida State Bar No. 72583 court@bencrump.com 122 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Telephone No.: (850) 224-2020

Equal Rights Law Group

Mika Hilaire California State Bar No. 212263 mika@equalrightslawgroup.com 15233 Wntura Boulevard, Suite 420 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Pintas & Mullins Law Firm

Laura Mullins
Illinois State Bar. No. 6229541
laura@pintas.com
368 W. Huron Street, Suite 100
Chicago, IL 60654
Telephone No.: (312) 488-2000
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

/s/ Benjamin Pendroff via email on 1/16/24

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

Victor D. Vital (pro hac vice)
Texas Bar No. 00794798
Victor.Vital@btlaw.com
Benjamin T. Pendroff (pro hac vice)
Texas Bar No. 24094893
bpendroff@btlaw.com
Alicia Barrs
2121 N. Pearl Street, Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75201
(214) 258-4200
(214) 258-4199 (Facsimile)

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

Autumn C. Gear (pro hac vice) Minnesota Bar No. 0398236 Autumn.Gear@btlaw.com 225 South Sixth Street, Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612) 333-2111 (612) 333-6798 (Facsimile)

Modrall & Sperling

Nathan T. Nieman
Elizabeth A. Martinez
ntn@modrall.com
eam@modrall.com
500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 1000
Albuquerque, NM 87102
(505) 848-1800
(505) 848-9710 (Facsimile)
Counsel for Defendant
National Collegiate Athletic Association

/s/ Steve Shanor approved via email on 1/12/24

Hinkle Shanor LLP

Stephen S. Shanor
Chelsea R. Green
PO Box 10
Roswell, NM 88202-0010
575-622-6510
ssshanor@hinklelawfirm.com
cgreen@hinklelawfirm.com
Attorneys for Defendant UNM

/s/ CaraLyn Banks approved via email on 1/13/24

Kemp Smith LLP

CaraLyn Banks
3800 E. Lohman, Ste. C
Las Cruces, NM 88011
575-527-0023
caralyn.banks@kempsmith.com
Counsel for Defendant Robert Davie

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 16, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing documentwas filed electronically in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(E) on all parties who have consented to electronic service.

/ <u>s/ Drew Stout</u>	
Drew Stout	